About

The Georgia Professional Standards Commission (GaPSC) developed the *Inductee Perceptions of Preparation Survey* in 2017 in alignment with state and national standards, including the InTASC standards. As seen through the survey questions in the table below, this data provides Educator Preparation Providers (EPPs) with first-year teachers' feedback on their preparation and perceptions of their impact on P-12 student learning and development during their first year in the classroom. The survey includes four questions about current employment that are answered with "Yes" (1) or "No" (0) responses and thirty-two scaled questions with response options for "Strongly agree" (4), "Agree" (3), "Disagree" (2), "Strongly disagree" (1), and "No response" (0). On the scaled questions, the GaPSC notes that "Where a 0 appears, this represents a non-answer. Zeros should not be included in any average of responses." The survey concludes with two open-ended questions.

Administration

To distribute the survey, the GaPSC emails all induction teachers asking them to complete the survey in April of each year. Then results are shared with the EPPs, from which these new teachers graduated approximately a year after collecting the responses. Since implementing the *Inductee Perceptions of Preparation Survey* in 2017, it has been challenging to increase the response rate. After the first year of survey distribution, the GaPSC recommended we follow up with an email to increase participation. The GaPSC announces to the EPPs when they will distribute the surveys, and we email our alums with a request to complete the survey from the GaPSC. The GaPSC provides EPPs with an email contact list. However, we commonly find that the induction teachers do not update their email addresses from their university account, which expires after graduation. Each year, we look up all induction-level teachers on the list to ensure we have the correct emails to contact them regarding the survey. At our annual certification meetings, we remind our candidates to update their email addresses to permanent ones. These efforts have helped some, but the response rate is still low. With a low survey rate, it is difficult to draw conclusions or make any generalizations about program preparation. Additionally, the manner in which this data is provided does not allow us to disaggregate the data by program. This could be due, in part, to issues of confidentiality for smaller programs. Thus, the data below is for the EPP as a whole.

Results

The results of the *Inductee Perceptions of Preparation Survey* are included in Table 1 below. Please note that this survey produces lagging data, with new teachers surveyed regarding their perceptions of preparation one year after program completion and results being released by the GaPSC the following year. For example, a student would complete the program in 2021, complete the survey one year later in 2022, and then the GaPSC would release the results one year later, in 2023, to the EPP. Overall, inductee satisfaction indicated in the 2023 results is higher than in other recent years. had a slightly higher average rating of their preparedness (M=3.41) in comparison to those surveyed in 2019 (M=3.35), 2020 (M=3.30), 2021(M=3.32), and 2022 (M=3.21).

Over time, data indicate that most induction-level or first-year teachers graduating from our EPP felt prepared in the areas of planning, instructing, and assessing all students and in their ethical and professional behaviors as educators. Inductee teachers indicated their highest level of preparedness in areas such as utilizing strategies to create learning environments that engage students in individual and collaborative learning (2020, M = 3.41; 2021, M = 3.47; 2022, M = 3.33; 2023, M = 3.32); using formative and summative data to adjust instruction to enhance learning (2020, M = 3.46; 2021, M = 3.46; 2021, M = 3.46; 2021, M = 3.46; 2022, M = 3.46; aligning instructional goals and activities with state and district performance standards (2020, M = 3.57; 2021, M = 3.57; 2022, M = 3.47); working collaboratively with colleagues and other professionals standards (2020, M = 3.65; 2021, M = 3.60; 2022, M = 3.37; 2023, M = 3.49); understanding, upholding, and following professional ethics, policies, and legal codes of conduct (2020, M = 3.81; 2021, M = 3.60; 2022, M = 3.56; 2023, M = 3.66).

We did notice a few trending areas in which first-year teachers indicated a slightly lower level of preparedness. To be clear, though, a mean of 3.00 is indicative of inductee teachers' agreement about being prepared in these areas. For one, first-year teachers expressed slightly less prepared in differentiating learning for specific student groups: gifted students (2020, M =2.93; 2021, M=3.06; 2022, M=3.00; 2023, M=3.08), English language learners (2020, M =2.95; 2021, M=3.00; 2022, M=3.03; 2023, M=2.995), and students considered "at risk" (2020, M =3.00; 2021, M=2.97; 2022, M=2.93; 2023, M=3.00). Still, they were slightly more confident in differentiating learning for students with special needs (2020, M =3.21; 2021, M=3.22; 2022, M=3.28; 2023, M=3.26). This data is consistent across all four years, and this lets us know that we need to continue our emphasis and work on differentiation in our curriculum for all programs. Generally, we know that differentiation is a topic with which candidates and completers feel less confident. Even with years of experience, many teachers struggle with differentiation, which is certainly a topic on which we have placed increased emphasis. And lastly, the ability to engage learners in monitoring their progress was an additional area in which first-year teachers felt less comfortable (2020, M =2.97; 2021, M=2.93; 2022, M=2.93; 2023, M=3.18). These are all areas on which we will focus moving forward.

Table 1

The Mean Scores for the GaPSC Inductee Perceptions of Preparation Survey by Year

#	Question	2020 43/205 Surveys Completed 20.98% Response Rate	2021 33/173 Surveys Completed 19.1% Response Rate	2022 29/238 Surveys Completed 12.18% Response Rate	2023 38/236 Surveys Completed 16.10% Response Rate
		Mean = 3.30 Median = 3.0 Mode = 3.00	Mean = 3.32 Median = 3.00 Mode = 4.00	Mean = 3.14 Median = 4.00 Mode = 4.00	Mean = 3.41 Median = 4.00 Mode = 4.00
A	Were you employed as a teacher while you were enrolled in your educator preparation program?	No = 95% Yes = 5%	No = 91% Yes = 9%	No = 100% Yes = 0%	No = 100% Yes = 0%
В	Were you employed as a paraprofessional while you were enrolled in your educator preparation program?	No = 100% Yes = 0%	No = 100% Yes = 0%	No = 97% Yes = 3%	No = 76.32% Yes = 23.68%
С	Did you teach in the same school system and in the same building during the fall and the spring?	No = 93% Yes = 7%	No = 100% Yes = 0%	No = 21% Yes = 79%	No = 94.74% Yes = 5.25%
1	Recognize the basic developmental levels (cognitive, social, emotional, and physical) appropriate to my students.	$\bar{\mathrm{x}}=3.40$	$\bar{\mathrm{x}}=3.36$	$\bar{\mathrm{x}}=3.31$	$\bar{\mathrm{x}}=3.5$
2	Plan instruction incorporating the basic theories of student development appropriate to my students.	$\bar{\mathrm{x}}=3.26$	$\bar{\mathrm{x}}=3.27$	$\bar{\mathrm{x}}=3.28$	$\bar{\mathrm{x}} = 3.32$
3	Deliver instruction incorporating the basic theories of student development appropriate to my students.	$\bar{x} = 3.33$	$\bar{x} = 3.30$	$\bar{x} = 3.17$	$\bar{\mathrm{x}}=3.32$
4	Develop and manage a collaborative classroom in which all students have ownership.	$\bar{\mathrm{x}}=3.26$	$\bar{\mathrm{x}}=3.48$	$\bar{\mathrm{x}}=3.14$	$\bar{\mathrm{x}}=3.53$
5	Implement effective classroom management strategies and procedures in all school areas.	$\bar{\mathrm{x}}=3.26$	$\bar{\mathrm{x}}=3.12$	$\bar{\mathrm{x}} = 2.96$	$\bar{\mathrm{x}}=3.42$
6	Understand how individual differences and diverse cultures impact student learning and classroom environments and use that information to design and deliver instruction.	$\bar{x}=3.42$	$\bar{\mathrm{x}} = 3.33$	$\bar{\mathrm{x}} = 3.21$	$\bar{\mathrm{x}}=3.45$

If in need of this content in an alternate format for accessibility (e.g. Braille, large print, audio, etc.), please contact april.nelms@ung.edu or call 706-864-1672.

7	Use differing perspectives to engage learners in critical thinking, creativity, and collaborative problem solving related to real world applications.	$\bar{\mathrm{x}}=3.33$	$\bar{\mathrm{x}}=3.30$	$\bar{\mathrm{x}}=3.14$	$\bar{\mathrm{x}}=3.42$
8a	Plan and deliver differentiated instruction using a wide range of evidence-based instructional strategies, resources, and technological tools to meet the diverse learning needs of: Gifted Students	$\bar{\mathrm{x}}=2.93$	$\bar{\mathrm{x}}=3.06$	$\bar{\mathrm{x}} = 3.00$	$\bar{\mathrm{x}}=3.08$
8b	Plan and deliver differentiated instruction using a wide range of evidence-based instructional strategies, resources, and technological tools to meet the diverse learning needs of: Students with Disabilities	$\bar{x}=3.21$	$\bar{x}=3.22$	$\bar{\mathrm{x}}=3.28$	$\bar{\mathrm{x}}=3.26$
8c	Plan and deliver differentiated instruction using a wide range of evidence-based instructional strategies, resources, and technological tools to meet the diverse learning needs of: English Language Learners	$\bar{x}=2.95$	$\bar{x} = 3.00$	$\bar{\mathrm{x}}=3.03$	$\bar{\mathrm{x}} = 2.95$
8d	Plan and deliver differentiated instruction using a wide range of evidence-based instructional strategies, resources, and technological tools to meet the diverse learning needs of: At-Risk Students	$\bar{x} = 3.00$	$\bar{x}=2.97$	$\bar{\mathrm{x}} = 2.93$	$\bar{\mathrm{x}} = 3.00$
9	Use technological tools and a variety of communication strategies to build communities that engage learners.	$\bar{\mathrm{x}}=3.21$	$\bar{\mathrm{x}}=3.41$	$\bar{\mathrm{x}} = 3.19$	$\bar{\mathrm{x}}=3.49$
10	Create experiences to build accurate conceptual understandings when students have misconceptions.	$\bar{\mathrm{x}}=3.21$	$\bar{\mathrm{x}}=3.34$	$\bar{\mathrm{x}}=3.15$	$\bar{\mathrm{x}}=3.27$
11	Create opportunities for students to learn, practice, and master academic language.	$\bar{x}=3.36$	$\bar{x} = 3.31$	$\bar{\mathrm{x}}=3.37$	$\bar{\mathrm{x}}=3.35$
12	Use academic language in a way that encourages learners to integrate content areas.	$\bar{x}=3.26$	$\bar{x} = 3.31$	$\bar{x} = 3.26$	$\bar{\mathrm{x}}=3.51$
13	Utilize strategies to create learning environments which engage students in individual and collaborative learning.	$\bar{\mathrm{x}} = 3.41$	$\bar{\mathrm{x}} = 3.47$	$\bar{\mathrm{x}}=3.33$	$\bar{x}=3.41$

If in need of this content in an alternate format for accessibility (e.g. Braille, large print, audio, etc.), please contact april.nelms@ung.edu or call 706-864-1672.

14	Create opportunities for learners to develop diverse social and cultural perspectives.	$\bar{x}=3.26$	$\bar{\mathrm{x}}=3.42$	$\bar{\mathrm{x}}=3.30$	$\bar{\mathrm{x}}=3.43$
15	Engage learners in understanding, questioning, analyzing ideas, and mastering content from diverse perspectives.	$\bar{\mathrm{x}}=3.26$	$\bar{x} = 3.39$	$\bar{\mathrm{x}}=3.15$	$\bar{\mathrm{x}}=3.42$
16	Examine data to understand each learner's progress and learning needs.	$\bar{\mathrm{x}}=3.32$	$\bar{\mathrm{x}}=3.37$	$\bar{\mathrm{x}}=3.19$	$\bar{\mathrm{x}}=3.51$
17	Engage learners in monitoring their own progress.	$\bar{x}=2.97$	$\bar{\mathrm{x}} = 2.93$	$\bar{\mathrm{x}}=2.93$	$\bar{\mathrm{x}} = 3.27$
18	Develop supports for literacy development across content areas.	$\bar{x} = 3.11$	$\bar{\mathrm{x}}=3.13$	$\bar{\mathrm{x}} = 3.11$	$\bar{\mathrm{x}}=3.24$
19	Develop flexible learning environments that foster discovery, exploration, and expression.	$\bar{x}=3.22$	$\bar{\mathrm{x}}=3.37$	$\bar{x} = 3.19$	$\bar{\mathrm{x}}=3.41$
20	Utilize a variety of technological resources to support and promote student learning.	$\bar{x} = 3.29$	$\bar{x} = 3.33$	$\bar{x} = 3.11$	$\bar{\mathrm{x}}=3.46$
21	Promote students' responsible use of interactive technologies.	$\bar{x}=3.24$	$\bar{\mathrm{x}} = 3.03$	$\bar{\mathrm{x}} = 3.15$	$\bar{\mathrm{x}}=3.41$
22	Use a variety of diagnostic, formative, and summative assessments to assess and address learner needs.	$\bar{\mathrm{x}}=3.46$	$\bar{\mathrm{x}}=3.50$	$\bar{\mathrm{x}}=3.22$	$\bar{\mathrm{x}}=3.62$
23	Seek appropriate ways to integrate technology to support assessment practice and to assess learner needs.	$\bar{\mathrm{x}}=3.24$	$\bar{\mathrm{x}}=3.30$	$\bar{\mathrm{x}}=3.07$	$\bar{\mathrm{x}}=3.57$
24	Use formative and summative data to adjust instruction to enhance learning.	$\bar{\mathrm{x}}=3.46$	$\bar{x} = 3.43$	$\bar{\mathrm{x}}=3.37$	$\bar{\mathrm{x}}=3.54$
25	Use a variety of evidence-based practices to differentiate and support learning.	$\bar{\mathrm{x}}=3.35$	$\bar{x} = 3.33$	$\bar{\mathrm{x}} = 3.30$	$\bar{\mathrm{x}}=3.41$
26	Use a variety of instructional strategies to support learners' communication through speaking, listening, reading, and writing.	$\bar{\mathrm{x}}=3.41$	$\bar{x}=3.33$	$\bar{\mathrm{x}}=3.33$	$\bar{x}=3.49$

27	Align instructional goals and activities with state and district performance standards.	$\bar{x} = 3.51$	$\bar{\mathrm{x}}=3.57$	$\bar{x} = 3.44$	$\bar{\mathrm{x}}=3.56$
28	Use ongoing analysis and reflection to improve planning and practice.	$\bar{\mathrm{x}} = 3.38$	$\bar{\mathrm{x}} = 3.43$	$\bar{\mathrm{x}}=3.26$	$\bar{\mathrm{x}}=3.50$
29	Seek professional development opportunities to further develop my practice.	$\bar{\mathrm{x}}=3.41$	$\bar{\mathrm{x}}=3.37$	$\bar{\mathrm{x}}=3.41$	$\bar{\mathrm{x}}=3.46$
30	Work collaboratively with colleagues and other professionals.	$\bar{\mathrm{x}}=3.65$	$\bar{x} = 3.60$	$\bar{\mathrm{x}}=3.37$	$\bar{\mathrm{x}}=3.64$
31	Understand, uphold, and follow professional ethics, policies, and legal codes of conduct.	$\bar{\mathrm{x}}=3.81$	$\bar{x} = 3.60$	$\bar{\mathrm{x}} = 3.56$	$\bar{\mathrm{x}}=3.75$
32	Contribute to positive changes in practice and advance the teaching profession.	$\bar{\mathrm{x}}=3.65$	$\bar{\mathrm{x}} = 3.40$	$\bar{\mathrm{x}}=3.33$	$\bar{\mathrm{x}}=3.64$
T1	Reflecting on what you needed to know for your first year of teaching, what were the strengths of your educator preparation program? Please do not mention specific people or places, so we may more easily anonymize your response.	I felt very prepared when teaching content that pertained to reading and writing. I also felt confident coming up with classroom management strategies that worked with my classroom. I feel my program only taught me how to accomplish passing scores for edTPA. The greatest strength was time spent in the classroom because hands on experience is the only way to be successful in this profession. The strengths of my program were as follows: 1) Providing me with a wheelhouse of academic language that I can	With all of the focus being on passing the edTPA, there weren't any real, applicable strengths for me being a better science teacher. I learned more from my teacher mentors than my prep program. Strengths of my educator preparation program were differentiation, communication, collaboration, and technology integration. Our program was very unique in that we spent a lot of time discussing the good and the bad that we had encountered in our first year as teachers. We did a lot of discussion-based meetings from the text we were reading.	I now work in a much more poverty stricken area compared to where I student taught (even though I student taught with many different diversity levels). I never realized how much poverty (and ELL) sets back student development and abilities and how to stoop way below their level to accommodate. I also feel like I was not ready at all with behavior management. I was only told that it is only something you can learn from doing which I don't believe is true. Also, I did not feel prepared for the first few weeks on my own because when I observed this as a student teacher the mentor teacher already had lessons and	I loved my teacher prep program and feel more prepared for the classroom than some educators who have been teaching in the field for some time. My educator preparation program strength is have different types of teachers with a different teaching skills. The strengths were for learning how to incorporate both gen-Ed and sped students into your lessons in order to maximize their learning and what works as most helpful for them My program prepared me to use data in an effective manner and to collaborate with my

implement in wriplans and collabor other teachers and administrators and providing me with opportunity in the I was very well explained to classroom manage communication we connecting with steaching. My program did apreparing me for things. The program tauge student is one size Students have a weneeds and abilitie have to meet there are and build from program also tauge building rapport we students is one of	One strength was the amount of student teaching I completed. We did more than most educator preparation programs, and that is where I learned the most. How to write lesson plans, technology, collaborative planning The student teaching portion was an excellent experience, however there was absolutely no reason why it needed to last 9 months long. Six months of experience in my opinion was adequate, and that way student teachers could experience different school sett The emphasis on getting to know your students and their intrests as well as their	routines ready and set up and I didn't know how to start from nothing. Strengths of my educator preparation program were the emphasis that was placed on differentiation, understanding learning differences, and the basics of lesson planning, IEP's and 504's. I also leaned heavily on the skills and experience I acquired during my internship. Understanding the importance of professional development and engaging with the community of our placement school and eventual careers; professionalism and proper communication; utilizing fun and engaging lesson; integrating technology My educator preparation	colleagues on a regular basis. The program emphasized and encouraged students to participate in co-taught classrooms. The strengths of my educator preparation program was allowing all student teachers to student teach and observe in classrooms beginning in junior year instead of only in senior year of the program. Picking up on strategies easily to help make work easier. Utilizing tools given to me. I was familiar with classroom management and how to handle behaviors appropriately. Strengths were in developing reasonable syllabi; classroom procedures and expectations;
are and build from program also taug building rapport v	m there. The ght me that with my The emphasis on getting to know your students and their intrests as well as their	and engaging lesson; integrating technology My educator preparation	Strengths were in developing reasonable syllabi; classroom
Strengths of the p circle around the and utilize resour Resources can rat technology to act	ability to find rces. I think that they did a really good job at teaching how to differentiate by different students and their needs.	program did well at teaching me multiple strategies for instruction, classroom management, and proper lesson planning that focused on researched-based theories.	and developing test questions. They prepared me well to lesson plan and I had a lot of hours in the field during my internship.
outside experts. A strength would be about the different classroom and the	e teaching Time management. nt cultures in a	Using formative and summative assessments to gauge and change daily lesson	Some strengths of the program were being able to go into the school and actually experience

My teacher educator preparation program really prepared me for planning and creating lessons based on the needs of my students. The best part of my specific program was that there were only 4 of us going through it together so we were very close and that helped me learn about collaboration and working together. I think I am able to work so well with colleagues due to this. Relationships with students, other interns, teachers, and parents My program was really helpful for me. I learned how to implement effective classroom engagement and management.	My program prepared me for lesson planning, assessments, and classroom management. I also was prepared for the diverse needs of my students. This includes students with disabilities, as well as, students who are gifted. My program made my life ****. Thank you UNG Being placed in a classroom with the help and support of an experienced teacher for an entire year was invaluable. Further, I benefitted from having a mentor in my program who observed me and gave me both positive and constructive feedback.	plans to support students. Understanding the GA grade curriculum and the importance of teaching that material. Strategies for social-emotional learning The strengths of my educator preparation program were classroom management and instructional strategies. The content knowledge was extremely beneficial. I have used numerous activities and strategies that I learned from courses and mentor teachers. Hands-on experience Helped prepare us to work together with coworkers. Got us prepared to analyze student data to inform our instruction.	the things that we would not other wise experience in lecture. The program excelled in preparing us for instruction and assessment construction; ensuring that we understood expectations and methods surrounding standard alignment. The program also did as well as it could in preparing for classroom management strategie I loved having lots of time in the classroom. they were very big on management which was useful. I still feel like a million things needed to be learned this year and the next; however the emphasis on the program was there and helped.
for me. I learned how to implement effective classroom		together with coworkers. Got us prepared to analyze student	and the next; however the emphasis on the program was
The hands on experience was really helpful in my educator preparation program. I had plenty of experience with student teaching, and this helped in my own classroom.		My strengths were in my classroom management skills and my ability to look at a child as a whole.	Data digs Differentiation collaboration There were very specific professors/classes that helped me or that I draw from in my
The biggest strength of my program was preparing me to work with students of different		Gave me understanding of a lot of vocabulary and theoretical frameworks	career now. The majority of the program was pretty much wasted. I use little to nothing on a daily basis and feel there

ability levels and differentiate material to fit the needs of each student. Classroom management	I think UNG prepared me for all of the "technical" aspects of becoming a teacher. I feel like I've been able to plan and	were many ways I could have been better prepared.
student.	becoming a teacher. I feel like	seen setter prepared.
Classroom management		A huge strength about the
Classiconi management	implement lessons effectively	program was that it prepared
strategies	implement lessons effectively	me very well to differentiate
strategies	Paying tuition to student teach	for all my students. I had a
The professors were amazing.	for 950 hours (for free) in the	great experience with
The professors were amazing. They still keep in contact with	classroom really showed me	collaborating with my team.
their students. They cared	how teachers are appreciated	conaborating with my team.
about each student and wanted	(and also why there is a	Having a lot of field also smeat
them to all be successful. The		Having a lot of field placement
	shortage. It did, however,	experience.
program gave us good	prepare me for the first year of	TEL 4 4 C
resources.	teaching (that I don't think any	The strengths of my program
	other "experience" could.	were teaching me how to really
UNG did an amazing job	W. II	dive into the state standards;
preparing me for my first year	We were able to experience a	what they meant; and figuring
of teaching, and I think a lot of	verity of classroom	out how to tie that into my
that is due to the amount of	environments through our two	teaching. I also feel a strength
student teaching we had to	year program. Therefore, we	of the program was teaching us
participate in. Though it	were exposed to more content	how to differentiate by using
seemed like a lot at the time	areas and different types of	student data
and was most definitely more	educators.	
than other schools, it tur		The educator preparation
	They showed strengths with	program that I attended helped
Overall, I don't think there are	using data to inform	me most with differentiation;
a lot of things my program	instruction, how to teach	formative and summative
could have done differently to	students with disabilities and	assessments; lesson planning;
prepare me for my first year. I	how to help ELLs	technology; and much more.
think the statement "nothing		
can prepare you for this"	The program did help me learn	Taught me effective
matches how I felt starting the	how to time manage.	instructional strategies and
year. I think the only thing that		classroom management
could make	The teaching program did	strategies.
	provide theoretical foundations	
	but provided few opportunities	

		Strengths include: autonomy when doing assignments with little expectation; because it allowed education students to think critically in order to problem solve, and figure out what the professor was asking of us.		to apply that learning to the classroom itself. The teachers were knowledgeable and available, which I appreciated.	
		The program prepared me for classroom management, assessing, and differentiation.			
		Good assessment practices and data analysis strategies			
		Our faculty. They gave me the			
		instruction and personal feedback that was very helpful.			
		The program was also well			
		planned and I have seen many			
		of the things we learned in our			
		courses. Our in class material			
		has "came to life" so to speak			
		as I have witness			
T2	How do you think your educator preparation program	I could have felt more prepared	Get rid of some of the	See above. I also wish I had	I would like more specific
	could have better prepared you for your first year in	when it comes to dealing with	****** busy work and focus	more pre made lesson plans for	training for ELL learners and I
	the classroom? Please do not mention	parent relationships as well as	on applicable, content-based	the beginning of the year when	would like the gifted program
	specific people or places, so we may more easily	using technology outside of the	strategies. Better edTPA prep	students don't know how to do	to be embedded within the
	anonymize your response.	classroom.	since that is what really	school yet	program.
		I think the Chesial Education	matters when trying to earn a certificate.	There was one area that I feel I	My educator preparation
		I think the Special Education program missed out on	certificate.	could have received better	program could have done
		opportunities that would have	I still need help in creating and	preparation: I had several new	better on preparing me on all
		provided me with more	picking quality assessments	students arrive mid-year and	the type of students that would
		experience in IEP's, data	and using data from those	even late in the school year	be in my classroom and how to
<u> </u>		inperionee in initial s, and	and doing data from those	T. The late in the believe your	or miny chaosicom and now to

collection, monitoring data, identifying needs in students, etc

I think more focus on diverse students such as SpEd and EL would be helpful in really learning how to differentiate and accommodate the range of levels.

As a first year special education teacher I wish that the program would have allowed me to practice preparing an IEP.

I do not feel that my program could have better prepared me, however the years I spent as a substitute prior to my induction into the program better prepared me for solo teaching than any amount of time in student teaching could.

No one could have prepared any teacher for digital learning because of COVID-19. MORE Technology integration within content areas would have greatly helped with this.

I ended up going into special education and even though my program was just general assessments to guide instruction.

I would've preferred that our program was not so much a sharing opportunity, but it was geared more towards problemsolving. I know that being a first-year teacher I had a lot of questions about programs and testing used within the county, but we only tal

I really needed more effective classroom management strategies (rather that simply studying theorists) as well as how to best support students with disabilities. I did not learn at all how to support students with disabilities in my singular SPED class.

Behavior management. Cultural differences.

Addressing the needs of students who are more than 2 grade levels below.

Facilitated more networking and professional development experiences/workshops. Providing more information on low incidence classrooms and from other states and from other countries. It was very difficult to get them assimilated and caught up to the rest of the class academically. I didn't have any training in how to deal with this situation. The same could be said for students with long absences due to covid. It was very difficult to figure out how to help them catch up once they returned.

Frankly, the educator preparation I participated in caused me more questions and stress than anything. This could've been due to covid. But I found myself leaving the program feeling more unprepared than prepared. I've learned and experienced so much more in my first year of teaching than my program ever taught me. I thought I'd be the best I could be coming from my program. But I quickly found out that I truly know nothing. My program could stand to improve everything that their secondary education seeks to teach as what I was taught was not nearly enough to feel competent and successful on my own.

support them. My educator preparation program could have also focus more on how to create a healthy relationship in

The program is not realistic for a classroom in which students are not proficient in grade level reading or math. Much of the instruction in the program assumes that students come to their new grade level having achieved proficient skills at lower grade l

They could have better prepared me by teaching more classroom management strategies and realistic learning for all types of learners.

Have more mentor teachers care and that really push the preparing student teachers harder instead of giving them an easy senior year and leaving them out to dry

The education program gave me the time and experience to see how a classroom was kind of ran but small group was something that I felt under prepared with. Giving assessments and placing

second education I feel they could have done a better job with preparing me for the diverse needs special education students have. I've often struggled with teaching some

Classroom Management is truly hard to teach. It is just from pure practice. A class devoted to this in person is pointless. If your resources and activities are strong, your classroom management can reflect it. It is much more productive to spend time exp

I wished I had an opportunity to explore more special education classrooms, especially for students with severe disabilities. I could have really benefited from different classroom management strategies for students with severe autism, as well as differen

There was only 1 art education professor and she was also our advisor. She had too much on her plate at once and we only got to hear things from one perspective.

working with paraprofessionals.

Classroom management. I think that dissecting standards in the specific content a little more would be beneficial.

N/A

My program prepared me very well. I have no suggestions here.

They could have allowed me the time to rest so that I dont feel burnt out and wanting to quit after one year. Working over 80 hours & then straight into a pandemic, virtual teaching job. I want to quit. Thanks UNG.

My educator preparation program could improve by teaching teacher candidates how to respond to parents when they are concerned/angry with you, how to deal with colleagues who are not supportive, how to stand your ground as a first-year teacher when nobody will take you up on new and fresh ideas, practicing professionalism, and much more. No educator preparation program will prepare any teacher for what it's actually like to work in a school system as a first-year teacher because all schools are different with diverse individuals teaching and leading.

Working with students after the pandemic who have behavioral issues and learning deficiencies. (Classroom management to a new level?) Dealing with administrators who may not be realistic as to how much teachers can accomplish in one year and don't necessarily support the needs of teachers. How to assess a schools ability to be a supportive environment for the

students into group and running the classroom with different station

Secondary Science and Math education was not the focus of the program at UNG and this was very much evident. Most of the classes were geared towards other contents or grade levels. This made it difficult to apply certain aspects of my program to my conten

How to manage behavior issues including how to respond to a situation.

I think that allowing us to go into the school at the beginning of the year instead of just part of the way through the year will help other students get a better understanding of the first couple of weeks.

There was little to no preparation or training in relation to how to battle student apathy. This is probably the number one issue in education now; manifesting symptoms such as technology abuse; truancy; and assignment neglect. On the contrary; I believe

Reading!! I do not feel I was	teachers and how to interview	
	schools to determine if the	A and amino live and a antant wise
properly prepared to teach and		Academically and content wise
assess reading.	teacher is a good fit.	they did great. However; i
		would love to see them move
Make more emphasis on	Ways to encourage students to	towards more classroom
Special Education as part of	try	management. Especially how
the degree. I felt like a lot of		to deal with parents and
my preparation for Special	I could have been better	aggressive students and
Education came from the real-	prepared with analyzing data	teachers that lack support from
life experiences and mentor at	and using the data to guide my	admin.
the school I am employed at.	instruction.	
		helping us construct real
I canít think of anything else	I would have enjoyed learning	lessons rather than tell us big
that my educator preparation	more linguistics and	overarching categories of
program could have done any	phonology. I would also have	things to include in lessons. in
better. It was a great program.	enjoyed learning more about	other words; don't just say you
	classroom management	should have an opener to
One weakness of the program	strategies and seeing those	stimulate schema; you should
was that it was catered to the	implemented.	have a small lesson; then go
specific county in which I	1	into individual work; t
completed my student	I wished we would have had	
teaching. There were many	more practice in developing	Class room management
things I found that I was taught	IEPs.	parent conversations
that is not common practice in	121 5.	parent conversations
the county in which I currently	My program really needs to	The daily skills; rather than the
work. I also found that	help prepare teachers for	repetitive psychological
work. Taiso found that	classroom management and	courses and aspects. The
I feel less prepared for	modern student behaviors. The	schools have PD for that; I
differentiation with gifted	program was overall	needed to know how to teach
	1 6	
students then for struggling	unorganized and although not	on a daily basis; and the
ones. More tome spent on that	overly difficult made our lives	program (except for maybe 4
subject would have been	unecessarily more stressful.	courses; 3 not required; but
helpful	The program also felt catered	electives) did little to nothing
	to middle grades educators, so	
We spent too much time	secondary people felt left out	Less classes and more hands
preparing our EdTPA	of learing opprotunities.	on learning. Only a handful of

submission- time that could've		professors taught useful
better be spent on or her more	I didn't realize my mental	material that I am using. The
practical and immediately	health would decline and that I	most knowledge I got was
useful things.	would not have a lot of time to	mainly from my time spent in
userur unings.	take care of myself. It was	the classroom speaking to
I teach special ed. I don't feel	really hard in the beginning	people who were still in the
like we were very prepared for	months, but slowly has gotten	classroom.
special ed. We had lots of	better.	Classiooni.
classes but because our college	better.	Teaching us more about the
	Needs more instruction on	
program was so rigerous we		IMPORTANCE of classroom
couldnít focus a lot on each	practical classroom	management; how to really
class. I think giving us more	management.	manage a room in details; and
tools and lesson preparation for		talking about the different
special ed wo	The biggest struggle I had was	behaviors we would run into as
	establishing class room	educators and how to handle
I think we could have	management strategies. This is	them. Several first year
discussed how to work with	difficult to learn and practice	teachers I went into teaching
gifted students a little more.	before having your own	with a
For example, we discussed	classroom because as youre	
scaffolding, but we never	going through school you are	I believe the educator
really learned how to scaffold	borrowing someone else's	preparation program I attended
up, only down.	classroom and their own	could have better prepared me
I think this is a hard question.	management techniques that	for gifted students; data
There are so many different	might not work for you	review; parent communication;
scenarios that walk into your	personally in your own	and all the first year teacher
classroom it's hard to pinpoint	classroom. I also wish we had	meetings.
a specific area. I think	more support with the social	
differentiation plays a big part	and emotional learning that	More emphasis on effective
of this equation. In my first	students need. As a fifth grade	curriculum planning.
year I had a classroom with 7	teacher I was surprised at how	
ELL students,	much drama and little "fires" I	
	would need to help my	
More classroom management	students work through and it	
strategies, along with how to	was very difficult to navigate	
differentiate for lower students	as a first year teacher. I	
rather than gifted.	thankfully had a big support	

	system at my school that
Focus more on the paperwork	would step in and assist but I
side of Special Education and	don't feel that I was
how to help students meet their	completely prepared for all of
goals.	that. It also doesn't help that I
	started teaching in a pandemic
Need more emphasis on	when children's social
reaching at-risk high school	experiences were interrupted
students when things like	and damaged.
drugs, gang activity, violence,	
poverty, and lack of parental	I think my prep program was a
involvement threaten their	pretty exact microcosm of the
education	current educational methods
	and system.
I feel if we had classroom	
videos of different teachers to	During my first year, I looked
watch. Seeing how different	back on my time in the
approaches work with students	education program and would
in classroom management and	have like more classroom
lesson delivery. Being with 2	mangament exposure. I was
teachers gives you a varied	taught a lot about it, but it
perspective. I feel more	would have been more
observation via video would	beneficial to learn more real
	life situations.
	Better help and resources for
	classroom management, how
	to help students with trauma
	and how to handle extreme
	behaviors. I think there should
	be a class on child trauma. So
	many kids deal with it and if
	you know what to look for and
	how their brains are
	responding it helps you know
	how to help them

	The focus could have shifted toward more real life experiences.
	Teaching during Covid has been exhausting, especially when prepping and planning at a new school where I have to conform to group lesson arcs. I get to teach in my own way but
	do not determine the timing or get to write my own exams. My standards are higher than the other teachers and I would approach the class differently. Given all of these curricular
	constraints and expectations on top of reteaching students how to appropriately behave in a classroom, do homework, and generally improve motivation has been challenging. It has
	left me little time to differentiate or create additional activities for my students. It has been frustrating to work with IRR co-teachers that are coaches and don't
	respond to my lesson plans or do their own preparation. I wish that the teacher preparation program had dealt more with appropriate, but
	difficult conversations with colleagues, students, and

	parents. I also wish that more
	assignments had been
	connected to my student
	teaching environment. I
	certainly learned enough, but
	the overwhelming expectations
	of this year have made me
	wonder if I remember any of
	the details of differentiation.
	developing literacy within my
	field, or creating interesting
	activities that engage students
	using varied strategies and
	methods.